GOTHAM

Padriac Steinschneider Gotham Design Planning & Development Ltd. 329 Broadway Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522

(914) 693-5093 Fax: (914) 693-5390 (914) 906-4802 cell arch329@gmail.com

February 15, 2023

Memorandum 0 North Mountain Drive: Tax ID 3.10-1-3 Building and Impervious Coverage Calculations

The Village's consulting planner, Valerie Monastra, has prepared a Memorandum, dated January 28, 2023, for the pending application before the Planning Board for a Site Plan Review for a building lot that was created by subdivision in October 1989 by the Planning Board, which is known as 0 North Mountain Drive. This parcel was created by subdivision by the Dobbs Ferry Planning Board from 79 North Mountain Drive and is also known as Tax ID Number 3.10-1-3. The Tax ID Number has been provided to avoid confusion, since there are several other building lots that were created in that same year by subdivision from other properties, including 72 North Mountain Drive.

In her Memorandum, Ms. Monastra identifies three issues that need to be addressed, with each requiring a variance or waiver. I appreciate that she has laid this out in this way, but the facts are that this is a misinterpretation of the Code and no variances or waivers should be required.

The following is copied from Ms. Monastra's January 28, 2023 Memorandum:

Zoning. The Applicant provided a zoning table for the OF-2 district. This property was before the Zoning Board of Appeals (ZBA) for a variance from the minimum lot area. The ZBA referred this application to the Planning Board for site approval before the issuance of any possible variances. The current submission of the project may require the following variances:

- a. Minimum net lot area. The requirement for the OF-2 zoning district is a minimum lot area of 20,000 square feet. The Project Site has a proposed net lot area of 15,261 square feet which would require a variance of 4,749 square feet.
- b. Max lot coverage by buildings. The OF-2 zoning district allows for 18% lot coverage by buildings. The applicant calculated this amount using the gross lot area but should have used the net lot area. As a result, the building lot coverage calculates as 4,190/15,261= 27.46%. This will require a variance of 9.46%.
- c. Max lot coverage by impervious surfaces. The OF-2 zoning district allows for 40% lot coverage by impervious surfaces. The applicant calculated this amount using the gross lot area but should have used the net lot area. As a result, the impervious surface coverage calculates as 8,284/15,261 = 54.28%. This will require a variance of 14.28%.

We have prepared this Memorandum to address Ms. Monastra's Memoradum, providing the background on these issues so that the matter can be properly corrected without further delay or inappropriate impositions on the property owner, as well as requiring the Planning Board and the Zoning Board of Appeals to spend time resolving issues that are based on incorrect information and interpretations of the Code.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 2 of 8.

Basis for Disagreement

In the statements quoted above, Ms. Monastra claims that the applicant's use of the gross lot area for determining both the permitted Building Coverage and the permitted Impervious Coverage is a mistake and that the Code requires the use of the <u>net lot area</u> in determining these two coverages.

We understand and agree that the Code could be more clear, most specifically on how Building Coverage is to be calculated, but the lack of clarity does not allow the Village to make assumptions and impose requirements that are neither stated in the Code nor consistent with the way in which the Village has made these determinations in the past, even if some may think that these different requirements could be appropriate.

Ms. Monastra's contention that the Impervious Coverage is required to be based on a percentage of the "net" lot area is completely inconsistent with the definition of Impervious Coverage in Section 300-14 of the Dobbs Ferry Code. Impervious Coverage is defined in the Code as follows:

IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE

The part of a lot that is covered by impervious surfaces, expressed as a percentage of the gross lot area.

While the issue with impervious coverage should be clear, the definition for Building Coverage in the Dobbs Ferry Code is less so:

BUILDING COVERAGE

The area of a lot covered by or permitted to be covered by principal and accessory building(s) and structures on the ground level. Percentage of building coverage is the area of principal and accessory building(s) at the ground level divided by the lot area and expressed as a percentage of the lot area.

For the purposes here, while this definition does not specifically state that the percentage is of the "gross" lot area, it also does not state that the percentage is of the "net" lot area.

While we have copies of the drafts of the Code that was adopted in 2010 back to the first complete draft dated August 17, 2007, we cannot point to a version that qualified the lot area as either "gross" or "net", when it comes to Building Coverage. The definition for Building Coverage in the 2010 Code is the same as it was in the Code prior to the new Code. There is no mention of "net" lot area being used for Building Coverage in any of the drafts or any of the notes from the work sessions and meetings that led to the adoption of the new Code in 2010.

There are notes that pertain to the Impervious Coverage from comments made during the work sessions in which a member of the Land Use Committee, who was Chair of the Conservation Advisory Committee, suggested that steep slopes needed to be protected from development.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 3 of 8.

At the time, it was agreed that the section of the Code, which is now Section 300-46, which was already in the Code, adequately addressed the need for the Planning Board to consider steep slopes exceeding 25% for protection in Site Plan Review. Integral to the confirmation of that requirement was adding the definition of Impervious Coverage to the Code. This stipulates that the limit on coverage is based on the "gross" lot area of the parcel, without any deduction for steep slopes.

The Building Department has tried to supported the use of the "net" lot area being required for the determination of the maximum Building Coverage by referencing the footnote that is found under the Table B-1 and Table B-2 in the Zoning Ordinance. This footnote reads: Note: The net lot area is determined by deducting the adjustments specified in Section 300-34A(2) from gross lot area.

This simply explains that the minimum lot area for a parcel in each of the zoning districts is the net lot area that results from the subtraction of percentages of the steeply sloped areas on any particular parcel. It does not say that the net lot area is to be used in calculating either the Building Coverage or the Impervious Coverage. The fact that the definition of Impervious Coverage stipulates the use of the gross lot area better suggests that Coverage was intended to be based on gross lot area, not net lot area.

There is nothing in the Code that suggests that the intent was for the permitted Building Coverage to be calculated using anything other than the total area of the parcel without deductions of steep slopes.

Origin of Section 300-34 in the Dobbs Ferry Code

In conversations about this issue of calculating Building Coverage, the question was asked by a member of the Building Department, "If not to limit the size of the house that could be built on a building lot, why would the Village have adopted a requirement that a percentage of the lot area exceeding specific slopes be deducted from the total lot area to determine a net lot area?" The assumption in this question is that the deduction for steep slopes was added to the Zoning Ordinance specifically to reduce the size of houses that could be built.

As it pertains to the property subject to this Memorandum, the assumption would then be that, instead of allowing a house that would have a building coverage of 18% of the total lot area of 23,337 square feet, which is 4,200 square feet, the purpose of Section 300-34.A.(2) was to subtract a percentage of the steep slopes (7,622 for the subject property) to establish a net lot area of 15,715 square feet, to which the 18% permitted coverage would be applied, yielding a maximum building coverage of 2,829 square feet.

Lacking any other reason for which this provision in the Code would have been created, this is not an unreasonable assumption. It is, however, only an assumption without support in the Code. There is also a very real history to the origin of Section 300-34, but since that happened back in the 1980s, it would not be illogical to assume that there is little surviving knowledge of what actually happened back then. However, the fact is that I was personally and directly involved in that history, as a search of the Village records can confirm.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 4 of 8.

In the mid-1980s, several development projects involving condominium or HOA residential units were proposed in Dobbs Ferry. That was at a time when the change that was anticipated by this form of development was a concern for some residents; the proverbial fear of change. Simultaneously, there were a couple of small scaled developers who were searching out over-sized single-family parcels that may already be improved with a house, but which had sufficient lot area to support a subdivision to create a new building lot. The Planning Board and Board of Trustees came up with two provisions that were added to the Code to stifle, or at least reduce, this type of development and the number of new residential units that could be created.

The first provision added to the Code in September 1987 was Section 300-34.A.(1)(a), which became known as the "rectangle ordinance." This provision required that any new building lot created had to be able to contain a rectangle capable of containing the required minimum lot area for the zoning district within which the parcel was located, with no side of the rectangle being less than 80% of the required lot width. This requirement quickly shut down the creation of what had become cleverly known as "surgical subdivisions." These new lots might meet the required lot area, but take on very odd shapes, resulting in awkward buildings that often did not fit the context of the neighborhood.

The second provision added to the Code in September 1989 was Section 300-34.A.(2), which required the steep slopes on a property to be determined and then a percentage of the areas that are sloped more than 15% to be deducted from the "gross lot area," resulting in a "net lot area." However, these deductions were not factored into the permitted Building Coverage, as evidenced by the numerous homes that have been built since then that used the gross lot area as the basis for both the Building Coverage and the Impervious Coverage. The purpose of the net lot area was for it to be used in the layout of subdivisions, particularly hypothetical subdivisions which were the basis for determining the number of residential units that could be included in a clustered development.

The procedure for when clustered projects were proposed was to require a hypothetical subdivision of single family homes to be prepared to determine the number of units that could be included in the cluster development. With the rectangle ordinance and the steep slopes ordinance factored into creating the hypothetical subdivision, the yield from a property was usually significantly reduced.

In 1984, several years before these new ordinances were being considered, Gotham was retained by Richard Salerno, a specialist in surgical subdivisions, to coordinate the development of a property that he had assembled on Livingston Avenue. This was a property that several other developers had attempted to develop over the years, but gave up on. Mr. Salerno changed the viability of developing the property by purchasing the rear yards of several adjacent properties, creating the possibility of access connecting to High Street. While a cluster development was proposed, the Planning Board was not sure that it wanted that form of development on that property and required the project to start with a traditional subdivision.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 5 of 8.

Since the property was zoned TF (later replaced by MDR-1), the lots were laid out as two or three-family homes. At that time there were no deductions for steep slopes. The subdivision resulted in a yield of 22 residential units. Seeing a subdivision of two and three-family homes on this steeply sloped property, the Planning Board changed its opinion on the best development approach and decided that a cluster would be a better form of development for the project. Gotham coordinated the design, which was approved in 1987 as a 22 unit condominium complex and was actually quite cool.

Unfortunately, in 1987, the real estate market took a turn and our project was put on hold. One of the problems with that design was that it was to built on a large plinth that would contain all of the parking and community amenities, including a health club that would be open to the public. Getting that project funded became too difficult.

In 1989, Gotham was back to the Planning Board with a less cool, but also less expensive cluster project. An application for a revised Site Plan approval was made and the project moved through the process. At the same time, the Village was proposing the adoption of the steep slopes ordinance. At every meeting, I asked whether the new ordinance would apply to our project and I was assured every time that it would not. We were told that we would be "grandfathered" because the project had already been approved prior to the idea of adopting the new provisions to the Code.

After the Village Board adopted the steep slopes ordinance at its September 1989 meeting, we received a letter from the Village that we would need to revise the design of our project to comply with the newly adopted steep slopes ordinance - what is now known as Section 300-34.A.(2). We appeared at the October 1989 Planning Board meeting and it was explained that the project was not grandfathered after all and would need a steep slopes analysis to be submitted. We were actually the next item on the same Planning Board agenda for the meeting at which the 0 North Mountain Drive subdivision was approved, creating the parcel that is subject to this Memorandum. The Minutes show that Mr. Salerno inquired about the method that was to be used for determining steep slopes and the Chair of the Planning Board instructed the use of the same method that had been used for 0 North Mountain Drive.

When we asked about issues of coverage, we were told that the purpose of what is now in the Code as Section 300-34.A.(2) was to determine the density of subdivisions and cluster developments and did not apply to lots after they had been created. We provided the steep slopes analysis for Livingston Ridge, which resulted in a maximum yield of 24 residential units. While the Village Boards were surprised that the result of the new ordinance was to actually justify an increase in the number of units from 22 to 24 units, the project was approved, which was then sold by Mr. Salerno to the Ginsburg Development Company. It was that project that was built. The gross lot area was used for determining the permitted Building Coverage for that project.

Support for the Use of Gross Lot Area for Building Coverage

In addition to Livingston Ridge, the practice in the Building Department since then has been to use the gross lot area for determining the permitted Building Coverage and Impervious Coverage as can be documented by a review of the Village's records.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 6 of 8.

Over the years, Gotham has coordinated the design, approval, and construction of numerous single family homes in Dobbs Ferry. Attached to this Memorandum, we have provided the Zoning Charts for 14 single family homes, two two-family homes, and one three-family home that have been approved by the Dobbs Ferry Planning Board and built. These include the following:

Tax ID	Address	Client	Year
3.80-45-32	10 Tiernan's Lane	DePaola	1997
3.160-140-7	41 Magnolia Drive	Berliner	2002
3.60-23-18.2	93 Briary Avenue	Giuliano	2002
15.P51-8	7 Myrtle Avenue	Fucci	2005
28B.559-99-11	289 Clinton	Moretti	2005
8.10-419-14	19 McClelland Avenue	Hartnett	2007
8.21-472-1	1 and 3 King Street	JAN Construction	2008
8.16-445-29	27 Virginia Avenue	Rosenberg	2010
8.19-458-6	Cyrus Place	Racanelli	2010
3.100-98-47/48	60 Florence Avenue	Makan	2014
3.50-16-14	82 Belden Avenue	Vuletic	2014
3.50-16-36	31 Maple Street	Savage	2015
3.100-94-5.2	26 Allen Street	Makan	2015
3.80-36-5	130 Palisade Street	Noyes	2018
3.50-17-9	1 Myrtle Avenue	Ostrow	2018
3.90-52-4	40 Devoe	Lang	2019
3.50-17-82	60 Washington Avenue	Weld	2022

All but two of these properties were approved with the percentage of Building Coverage and Impervious Coverage calculated using the gross lot area to determine the permitted coverage. 93 Briary Avenue and 26 Allen Street are different in that they used the net lot area as the basis for the calculation of the Building Coverage. What made those two properties different from the others was that they were each a combined subdivision and site plan approval process. We were required to proof out the building lots for the subdivision, demonstrating that each resulting parcel had the required net lot area to be a compliant building lot, and that net lot area was then used for computing the Building Coverage.

This recent interpretation to require the coverage calculations to be based on the net lot area instead of the gross lot area has also been imposed on one of Christina Griffin's projects - 34 Clinton Avenue. Christina has also done a good number of projects in Dobbs Ferry and it was actually her recommendation that we provide the information pertaining to previously approved projects using the gross lot area that led us to provide this documentation.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 7 of 8.

It is our understanding that Ms. Griffin will be providing a similar list of examples of buildings she design and that were approved by the Planning Board using the gross lot area in the calculation of Building and Impervious Coverage.

We understand that some applications may have been approved using the net lot area for the calculation of Building and Impervious Coverage, but that does not change what the Code says or what the actual requirements are supposed to be. The fact that there may be an inconsistency in how these applications calculated coverages, with two different methods used, is simply evidence that this needs be addressed and the Village Code fixed. As the Building Department has noted, there is actually a list of discrepancies and confusing requirements throughout the Zoning Ordinance. As a member of the Land Use Committee, I helped maintain a list of these and provided them to the Building Department regularly. I stopped doing that when the Land Use Committee was disbanded; prematurely in my opinion.

Resolution

When there are questions about the Code, it is the Code Enforcement Officer's responsibility to determine the process or method that should be followed; effectively to interpret the Code. This is a significant responsibility and it can have a major impact on how projects are developed in the Village. It is a responsibility that has to start with protecting the rights of property owners, particularly those who are the residents of Dobbs Ferry and affected both by what they can do with their own property and by the economic stability of the Village in which they live. All indications are that the Building Department understands this and is committed to resolving these issues appropriately. However, while the Code Enforcement Officer has the power to make decisions and determinations, it needs to be understood that the Code Enforcement Officer is not omnipotent and must follow procedures that are supported by the Dobbs Ferry Village Code, New York State Village Law, and the New York State Building Code.

Most important is that the decisions cannot be arbitrary or inconsistent. The way that this is most often achieved is by continuing to follow procedures that have been long established. While there may be cases in which there was a divergence between possible interpretations of the words used in the Code and the practice maintained by the Building Department, the Building Department's ability to point to a consistency in their application of the Code reduces the ability for someone to claim that they were not treated fairly. The fact that the Dobbs Ferry Building Department has long maintained the practice of granting approvals for projects based on the use of the gross lot area for both Building Coverage and Impervious Coverage must be considered as such a standard. If there is an opinion that it would be better to use the net lot area for these calculations, that would require a change to the Code.

We understand that consistency would suggest that there should be a word added to the definition of Building Coverage. Similar to the way in which Impervious Coverage makes it clear that the calculation is based on the gross lot area, the Building Coverage should also have either "net" or "gross" inserted. All indications are that it should be the use of the gross lot area.

Memorandum for 0 North Mountain Drive Response to Monastra Memorandum February 15, 2023 Page 8 of 8.

If there was agreement that it is the "gross" lot area, as has been evidenced by the actions since the adoption of the steep slopes ordinance in 1989, that could be a simple correction. If, however, there is a feeling that the need is for this calculation to be based on the "net" lot area, there would need to be a more substantive process followed, since this would be a change and constrict the rights of property owners. As with any law, the need would have to be identified. The Village should be comfortable that, with all of the additional guidance and regulations adopted with the new Code in 2010, there has not been the same kind of a concern in general in the community with overly large homes being built, as there was before the adoption of the new Code.

The Code Enforcement Officer can make a recommendation for a change to the Code, but the Code Enforcement Officer cannot make a change to the Code, change the Zoning Ordinance, or impose new or different requirements, even if the Code Enforcement Officer is confident that the changes should be made.

Conclusion

At this point in time, with the history of how Building and Impervious Coverages have been calculated, the only fair decision is that both are based on the gross lot area. That is exactly what the definition of Impervious Coverage says. Building Coverage does not qualify that it is based on the gross lot area, but it does not qualify that is it based on the net lot area. If this is considered vague, New York State Village Law requires that the interpretation be to the benefit of the applicant.

It should also be noted that, if the intent was for one of the coverages to be based on the gross area and the other to be based on the net area, logic would suggest that it would have been the net area for the Impervious Coverage and the gross area for the Building Coverage. Since Section 300-34.A.(2) professes to be intended to "protect environmentally sensitive lands, preserve the Village's natural resources and promote the orderly development of land," reducing impervious coverage could be a factor in that initiative. Since Building Coverage is included in the Impervious Coverage, there is nothing in this description that would suggest the need for a reduction in the Building Coverage.

It should also be noted that, if the flaw in the clarity of the Code is determined to be the result of a typo or obviously missing word, the solution is much simpler. The Code Enforcement Officer simply needs to let the Village Attorney and the Village Board know and the correction can be made without a Public Hearing. Dobbs Ferry's Zoning Ordinance actually simplifies this procedure by providing Section 300-90, which was specifically included in the new Zoning Ordinance adopted by the Village on September 28, 2010. If it is a more substantive change, it would require the preparation of the change in written form and a Public Hearing conducted by the Board of Trustees.

OWNER AND PROPERTY INFORMATION

Property Owner:

Luigi DePaola

119 Palisade Street

Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522

914-693-3862

Location:

Tiemans Lane

Dobbs Ferry, New York 10522

Tax Map:

Section 7; Sheet 4; Block 403; Lot 6A

TOTAL SITE AREA

7,818 SQFT.

398 SQ FT.

AREA TO BE DEDICATED

AREA NET SITE BUILDING AREA

EXCLUDING PORCHES AND DECKS

BUILDING COVERAGE

SO FT. 7,420 SQFT. 1,953

26.32%

OWNER:

MARC AND LYNN BERLINER

LOCATION:

41 MAGNOLIA DRIVE

DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

TAX MAP:

SHEET: 34 BLOCK: 519 LOT: 10

EXISTING ZONING:

OF-5 RESIDENTIAL

EXISTING & PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

SETBACKS:	EXISTING MINIMUM	PROPOSED MINIMUM	
FRONT SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR	25 FEET 10 FEET 25 FEET 25 FEET	13.12 FEET 6.40 FEET 23.70 FEET 29.50 FEET	13.12 FEET 4.10 FEET 19.40 FEET 28.50 FEET
HEIGHT:	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE	EXISTING	PROPOSED
STORIES: FEET:	2 1/2 35 FEET	2 1/2 25 FEET	2 1/2 25 FEET
LOT COVERAGE:	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE	EXISTING	PROPOSED
LOT AREA:		5,546 SQ. FT.	5,546 SQ. FT.
SQUARE FOOTAGE: PERCENTAGE:	1,220 SQ. FT. 22 %	1,100 SQ. FT. 19.83 %	1,280 SQ. FT. 23.08 %
PROPOSED FAMILY ENTRY ADDITION PROPOSED KITCHEN ADDITION PROPOSED MASTER BEDROOM CA FOOTPRINT OF EXISTING HOUSE	1,100 SQ. FT.	40 SQ. FT. 30 SQ. FT. 70 SQ. FT. 40 SQ. FT. 1,280 SQ. FT.	
TOTAL FOOTPRINT		1,100 SQ. FT.	1,280 SQ. FT.

OWNER:

ANTHONY GIULIANO

LOCATION:

87 BRIARY ROAD

DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

TAX MAP:

SHEET: 18B BLOCK: 546

EXISTING ZONING:

OF-6 RESIDENTIAL

EXISTING & PROPOSED USE: SINGLE FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

ZONING REQUIREMENTS:

SETBACKS:	REQUIRED MINIMUM	PROPOSED MINIMUM
FRONT SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR	20 FEET 10 FEET 20 FEET 25 FEET	21.00 FEET 10.10 FEET 35.30 FEET 12.00 FEET
HEIGHT:	MAXIMUM PERMISSIBLE	PROPOSED
STORIES: FEET:	2 1/2 35 FEET	2 1/2 <35 FEET
LOT COVERAGE:	MAXIMUM	PROPOSED

LOT COVERAGE:	PERMISSIBLE	
LOT AREA:		9,649 SQ. FT. (GROSS) 7,945 SQ. FT. (NET)
SQUARE FOOTAGE: PERCENTAGE:	2,605 SQ. FT. 27 %	2,036 SQ. FT. 21.11 % (GROSS) 25.62 % (NET)

		PROPOSED	103.33 FEET 23.36 FEET 56.46 FEET 84.50 FEET 11.00 FEET	1 1/2 15 FEET 19.67 FEET	N/A 37,995 SQ.FT. 10.27% (3,901)	3,222 SQ.FT. 594 SQ.FT.	85 SQ.FT. 3,901 SQ.FT.
8:							TOTAL
SECTION:8	ISTRICT	EXISTING	103.33 FEET 23.36 FEET 56.46 FEET 84.50 FEET 3.80 FEET 6.50 FEET	1 1/2 15 FEET 8 FEET	N/A 37,995 SQ.FT. 9.80% (3,720)	3,222 SQ.FT. 50 SQ.FT. 223 SQ.FT. 225 SQ.FT.	3,720 SQ.FT.
IN FUCCI	RESIDENCE DENCE DENCE			E TO EAVE			TOTAL
EDWARD AND JOANN FUCCI 7 MYRTLE AVENUE DOBBS FERRY 10522 SHEET : 15	OF-2 - ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE	REQ'D/ ALLOWED OF-2	30 FEET 20 FEET 50 FEET 25 FEET 5 FEET 5 FEET	2 1/2 35 FEET 20 FEET AVG GRADE TO EAVE	100 FEET 20,000 SQ.FT. MIN. 20%(4,000)		S COTTAGE
ZONING ANALYSIS OWNER LOCATION TAX MAP	EXISTING ZONING EXISTING USE PROPOSED USE	SETBACKS	FRONT SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR ACCESSORY SIDE YARD ACCESSORY SIDE YARD HEIGHT	STORIES FEET ACCESSORY HEIGHT LOT COVERAGE	LOT WIDTH LOT AREA SITE COVERAGE	EXISTING HOUSE (FOOTPRINT) EXISTING FRONT PORCH EXISTING REAR DECK EXISTING SHED (TO BE REMOVED) PROPOSED CARETAKERS COTTAGE	FROTORINT

LOT: 99, 98A, 111G OF-3 - ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE DISTRICT BLOCK: 559 ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE 289 CLINTON AVENUE DOBBS FERRY 10522 JEFF MORETTI SHEET: 28B EXISTING ZONING EXISTING USE LOCATION TAX MAP OWNER

SECTION:11

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

PROPOSED USE

ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

SETBACKS	REQ'D/ ALLOWED		EXISTING		PROPOSED
	OF-3				
FRONT SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR	30 FEET 15 FEET 40 FEET 25 FEET		42.10 FEET 15.70 FEET 95,60 FEET 126.70 FEET		37.00 FEET 15.70 FEET 69.80 FEET 90.75 FEET
HEIGHT					
STORIES FEET	2 1/2 35 FEET		1 18 FEET		2 1/2 33.50 FEET
LOT COVERAGE					
LOT WIDTH LOT AREA SITE COVERAGE	80 FEET 15,000 SQ.FT. MIN. 20%(7,755.4)		150 FEET 38,777 FEET 4.75% (1844)		150 FEET 38,777 FEET 8.34% (3,236)
EXISTING HOUSE (FOOTPRINT) PROPOSED ADDITION			1,844 SQ.FT.		1.392 SO FT
FOOTPRINT		TOTAL	1,844 SQ.FT.	TOTAL	3,236 SQ.FT.

OWNER

TIMOTHY AND PATRICIA HARTNETT

LOCATION

McCLELLAND AVENUE DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

TAX MAP

SHEET: 10

BLOCK: 419

LOT: 14

EXISTING USE

PROPOSED USE

OF-6 ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

EXISTING ZONING

SECTION:8

ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

N/A

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

SETBACKS

REQ'D/ ALLOWED

0F-6

PROPOSED

20.40 FEET 10.10 FEET

20.50 FEET 25.10 FEET

20 FEET 10 FEET 20 FEET 25 FEET

FRONT SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR

21/2

35 FEET 2 1/2

STORIES FEET

HEIGHT

33.0 FEET

50 FEET

LOT COVERAGE LOT AREA SITE COVERAGE

LOT WIDTH

5,000 SQ.FT. MIN. 27%

5,000 SQ.FT. 26.44% (1,322)

ZONING ANALYSIS						
OWNER	J.A.N. CONSTRUCTION CORPORATION	TION CORPORAT	NOI			
LOCATION	1 AND 3 KING STREET DOBBS FERRY, N.Y. 10522	leet .Y. 10522				
TAX MAP	SECTION:8	SHEET: 21	BLOCK: 472	07	LOTS: 1,10,11,12	
EXISTING ZONING	OF 6 - ONE FAMIL	ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE				
EXISTING USE	N/A					
PROPOSED USE	ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE	DENCE				
ZONING REQUIREMENTS	<u>MENTS</u>					
SETBACKS	REQUIRED / ALLOWED	ALLOWED	PROPOSED	SED		
	0F 6		1 KING ST. LOT A	VARIANCE	3 KING ST. LOT B	VARIANCE
FRONT(MIN.) SIDE ONE (MIN.) SIDE TWO(TOTAL MIN.) REAR(MIN.)	20 FEET 10 FEET 20 FEET 25 FEET		20.00 FEET 10.16 FEET 20.33 FEET 25.75 FEET	REQUIRED NO NO NO NO	20.00 FEET 10.16 FEET 20.33 FEET 25.75 FEET	REQUIRED NO NO NO NO
HEIGHT						
STORIES(MAX.) FEET(MAX.)	2 1/2 35 FEET	<u>_</u>	2 1/2 27.17 FEET	ON ON	2 1/2 28.17 FEET	O O N
LOT COVERAGE						
LOT WIDTH(MIN.) LOT AREA(MIN.) BLDG AREA PERCENTAGE OF LOT MAX. SQUARE FOOTAGE	50 FEET 5,000 SQ.FT. 0F LOT MAX. 27%	Т Д. FT.	50 FEET 5,000 SQ.FT. 26.46% 1,323 SQ.FT.	0000	50 FEET 5,000 SQ.FT. 26.46% 1,323 SQ.FT.	0000
PARKING	2 SPACES	ES	2 SPACES	O _N	2 SPACES	O _N

OWNER

JARED AND ELIZABETH ROSENBERG

LOCATION

27 VIRGINIA AVENUE DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

TAX MAP

SECTION:8

SHEET:16

BLOCK: 445

LOT: 29

EXISTING ZONING

OF-6 ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

EXISTING USE

ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

PROPOSEDUSE

ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

SETBACKS REQ'D/ ALLOWED EXISTING PROPOSED

OF-6

FRONT 20 FEET 26.50 FEET 20.50 FEET SIDE (MIN.) 10 FEET 10.10 FEET 10.10 FEET SIDE (TOTAL) 20 FEET 30.40 FEET 20.85 FEET REAR ± 34.90 F EET 25 FEET ±36.50 FEET

HEIGHT

 STORIES
 2 1/2
 1 1/2
 2 1/2

 FEET
 35 FEET
 17.60 FEET
 27.66 FEET

DOMINANT EAVE HEIGHT, AVERAGE

22.17 FEET
DOMINANT RIDGE HEIGHT, AVERAGE

33.75 FEET

LOT COVERAGE

 LOT WIDTH
 50 FEET
 75 FEET
 75 FEET

 LOT AREA
 5,000 SQ.FT. MIN.
 7,500 SQ.FT.
 7,500 SQ.FT.

SITE COVERAGE 27% 18.12% (1,359) 24.98% (1,874) HOUSE 1,144 SQ.FT. HOUSE 1,584 SQ.FT.

DECK 215 SQ.FT. DECK 105 SQ.FT.
TOTAL 1,359 SQ.FT. POR CHES 185 SQ.FT.
TOTAL 1,874 SQ.FT.

IMPERVIOUS SURFACE 54% 1836 / 7500 = 24.48% 2530 / 7500 = 34.24%

 HOUSE 1,144 SQ.FT.
 HOUSE 1,584 SQ.FT.

 ROOFED DECK
 215 SQ.FT.
 DECK 105 SQ.FT.

 STEPS, WALKS
 200 SQ.FT.
 PORCHES 185 SQ.FT.

 BSMT STEPS
 12 SQ.FT.
 WALKS 158 SQ.FT.

BSMT STEPS 12 SQ.FT. WALKS 158 SQ.FT. DRIVEWAY 265 SQ.FT. BSMT STEPS 6 SQ.FT. DRIVEWAY 530 SQ.FT.

TOTAL 1,836 SQ.FT. TOTAL 2,568 SQ.FT.

OWNER

MARIA RACANELLI

63 MAIN STREET, DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

914-263-3692

LOCATION

CYRUS PLACE

DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

TAX MAP

SECTION: 8

SHEET: 19 BLOCK: 458

LOT: 6,7,8,9,10,11

PROPOSED USE

ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

ZONING CLASSIFICATION OF-6

SETBACKS	REQ'D/ ALLOWED		PROPOSED
FRONT (20 FT REQUIRED SETBACK REDUCED TO 10 FT AS PER SECTION 300-39 OF THE ZONING CODE)	10 FEET		± 15.25 FEET
SIDE (MIN.) SIDE (TOTAL) REAR (25 FT REQUIRED SETBACK INCREASED TO 35 FT AS PER SECTION 300-39 OF THE ZONING CODE)	20 FEET (1) 50 FEET (1) 35 FEET		20.00 FEET 78.45 FEET ± 40.00 FEET
HEIGHT			
STORIES FEET	2 1/2 35 FEET		2 1/2 34.63 FEET
DOMINANT EAVE HEIGHT DOMINANT RIDGE HEIGHT	EXEMPT (2) EXEMPT (2)		10.80 FEET 25.60 FEET
LOT COVERAGE			
LOT WIDTH LOT DEPTH LOT AREA SITE COVERAGE	50 FEET 100 FEET 5,000 SQ.FT. MIN. 20% (3)	HOUSE PORCHES DECK TOTAL	000000000 000000 0000 0000
IMPERVIOUS SURFACE	40% (3)	HOUSE PORCHES DECKS WALKS DRIVEWAY	220 SQ.FT.

PARKING

PARKING SPACES TWO SPACES TWO SPACES

NOTES

- USING TABLE B-4, THE REQUIRED SIDE YARD SETBACK INCREASES FROM A MINIMUM OF 10 FEET TO 1. 20 FEET AND THE TOTAL SIDE YARD SETBACK INCREASES FROM A MINIMUM OF 20 FEET TO 50 FEET.
- 2. EXEMPT PER SECTION 300-4.1.C (3).

USING TABLE B-3, THE PERMITTED BUILIDNG COVERAGE REDUCES FROM A MAXIMUM OF 27% TO

A MAXIMUM OF 20% AND THE PERMITTED IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE REDUCES FROM A MAXIMUM OF 3. 54% TO A MAXIMUM OF 40% BECAUSE THE LOT HAS AN AREA GREATER THAN 10,000 SQUARE FEET BUT LESS THAN 20,000 SQUARE FEET IN THE OF-6 ZONING DISTRICT.

PROPERTY OWNER

DAVID MAKAN

DM EQUITIES NY LLC

PO BOX 979

HARRIMAN, NEW YORK 10926

LOCATION

60 FLORENCE AVENUE DOBBS FERRY, N.Y. 10522

TAX ID NUMBER

3.100-98-47/48

EXISTING ZONING

MDR-1; MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTIAL

EXISTING USE

VACANT LOT FOLLOWING CATACLISMIC ACT OF GOD

PROPOSED USE

TWO SINGLE-FAMILY HOMES EACH ON A SEPARATE PARCEL

EACH WITH A TWO CAR GARAGE

ZONING REQUIREMENTS

SETBACKS REQU	IRED/ALLOWED	PERMITTED	PROPOSED
FRONT(MIN.) SIDE ONE (MIN.)** TOTAL SIDE YARD LOT 1 TOTAL SIDE YARD LOT 2 REAR LOT 1 REAR LOT 2	20 FEET 10 FEET 20 FEET 20 FEET 25 FEET 25 FEET	16 FEET * 5 FEET ** 20 FEET 20 FEET 20 FEET *** 20 FEET ***	17.00 FEET 10.75 FEET 24.83 FEET 38.75 FEET 29.5 FEET 20.2 FEET
HEIGHT			
STORIES FEET	2.5 35 FEET		2.5 33.50 FEET
BUILDING LOTS		LOT 1	LOT 2
LOT AREA	2,500 sq.ft. per/unit	6,743 sq.ft.	6,092 sq.ft.
NUMBER OF RES.UNITS		ONE	ONE
LOT WIDTH LOT DEPTH	50 FEET 100 FEET	68 FEET 90 FEET	81 FEET 60 FEET
COVERAGE			
MAX. BY BUILDINGS PERCENTAGE OF LOT	27%	25.18%	24.82%
MAX BY IMPERVIOUS COVER	54%	37%	37%
PARKING	2 PER UNIT	4 SPACES	4 SPACES

- * AVERAGE FRONT YARD SETBACK OF NEIGHBORING HOMES
- ** THE INTERIOR SIDE YARD SETBACK HAS BEEN REDUCES FROM 10 TO 5 FEET
- *** THE REAR YARD SETBACK HAS BEEN REDUCED TO 20 FEET DUE TO THE DEPTH OF THE LOT BEING LESS THAN 100 FEET.

NOTE: Drawings were previously submitted to and reviewed by the Planning Board for this property showing two two-family homes, with one on each of two building lots, which is permitted by Code. This is a revised Site Plan application requesting a reduction in the number of units to two single family homes, as well as a reduction in the size of each building.

The approved engineering drawings remain in effect for this revised Site Plan.

ZONING ANALYSIS					
OWNER	TOMISLAV AND N 27 SHERMAN AVE DOBBS FERRY, N	NUE	C		
PROPERTY LOCATION	82 BELDEN AVENI DOBBS FERRY, N				
TAX MAP	TAX ID NUMBER 3	3.50-16-14			
EXISTING ZONING	OF-5 ONE FAMILY	RESIDENCE			
EXISTING USE	ONE FAMILY RESI	DENCE			
PROPOSED USE	ONE FAMILY RESI	DENCE (UNC	CHANGED)		
ZONING REQUIREMENTS					
SETBACKS (HOUSEONLY)	REQ'D/ ALLOWE	ΞD	EXISTING		PROPOSED
	OF-5				
FRONT MIN. FRONT MAX.	25 FEET PREVAILING		30.40 FEET		25.30 FEET
SIDE MIN. SIDE TOTAL * REAR	10 FEET 20 FEET 25 FEET	(4)	10.00 FEET 25.00 FEET ± 66.96 FEET		10.00 FEET 22.50 FEET ± 72.00 FEET
HEIGHT					
STORIES FEET	2 1/2 35 FEET		± 25 FEET		2 1/2 ± 27.83 F⊞T
LOT COVERAGE					
LOT WIDTH MIN. LOT DEPTH MIN. LOT AREA MIN. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS MAX.	75 FEET 100 FEET 7,500 SQ.FT. MIN. 20%**	HOUSE SHED	70 FEET 385 (AVERAGE) 10,526.95 SQ.FT. 16.67% (1,755) 1,702 SQ.FT. 53 SQ.FT.		70 FEET 35 (AVERAGE) 10,526.95 SQ.FT. 19.28% (2,029) 2,029 SQ.FT. 0 SQ.FT. ***
		TOTAL	1,755 SQ.FT.	TOTAL	2,029 SQ.FT.
LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACE MAX.	CONC. ENTRY	3,039 / 10,52 HOUSE SHED TERRACE STEPS TERRACE WALKS DRIVEWAY	26.95 = 28.87% 1,702 SQ.FT. 53 SQ.FT. 199 SQ.FT. 10 SQ.FT. 74 SQ.FT. 120 SQ.FT. 881 SQ.FT.	3,975 / 10,526 HOUSE PORCH STONE TERRACE REAR PORCHES REAR TERRACE STAIRS TO BSMT DRIVEWAY TERRACE WIPT CONC PAD CURB IN REAR YAF	100 SQ.FT. 313 SQ.FT. 48 SQ.FT. 882 SQ.FT. 229 SQ.FT. 12 SQ.FT.
:DADIUNO		TOTAL	3,039 SQ.FT.	LOOSE LAID ST. WA TOTAL	66 SQ.FT. 3,975 SQ.FT.
PARKING	TWO SPACES		TWO SPACES		TWO SPACES
* DUE TO THE PARCEL BEING LESS MITH A MINIMUM OF 10 FEET.	THAN 75 FEET WIDE,	TABLE B-4 AL	LOWS THE TOTAL	SIDE YARDS TO BE	20 FEET,

^{**} ALTHOUGH THE PARCEL IS LOCATED IN THE OF-5 ZONING DISTRICT, WHICH PERMITS 25% COVERAGE FOR BUILDINGS AND 44% COVERAGE FOR IMPERVIOUS SURFACES, THE FACT THAT THE PARCELS EXCEEDS 10,000 SQ.FT. REQUIRES THAT THE COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS TO BE LIMITED TO 20% AND THE COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES BE LIMITED TO 40 %. SEE TABLE B-3.

^{***} SEE SECTION 300-34. PORCHES WITH AN AREA OF 75 SQUARE FEET OR LESS SHALL NOT BE INCLUDED IN DETERMINING BUILDING COVERAGE.

ZONING TABLE

PROPERTY LOCATION: 31 MAPLE STREET DOBBS FERRY N.Y.

TAX ID No 3.50-16-36

OWNER:

NADIA SAVAGE

122 EAST SUNNYSIDE LANE

IRVINGTON, N.Y. 10533

701	NIIN	C		27	P	CT
/ (//	MIII		1 /	. 7		

MDR-1 MULTI FAMILY RESIDENCE

		-		
REQUIREMENT	UNITS	REQUIRED/ ALLOWABLE	EXISTING	PROPOSED
LOT AREA	S.F.	5,000	7,695	NO CHANGE
FRONT YARD SETBACK MIN.	FT	20	27.01	20.50
SIDE YARD SETBACK MIN.	FT	10	5.48	10.1
SIDE YARD SETBACK TOTAL	FT	20	12.31	10.1
REAR YARD SETBACK MIN.	FT	25	65	62.0
STORIES	#	2 1/2	2 1/2	2 1/2
HEIGHT	FT	35	29	27.83
LOT WIDTH	FT	50	50	NO CHANGE
LOT DEPTH	FT	100	153 +	NO CHANGE
LOT AREA MIN	S.F.	5,000	7,695	NO CHANGE
LOT COVERAGE BY BLDGS	%	27	25.08	26.9%
LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACE	%	54	35.73	47.46
OFF STREET PARKING	#	4	4	4

26 ALLEN STREET ZONING TABLE

PROPERTY LOCATION: 26 ALLEN STREET TAX ID No PENDING (NEW TAX LOT) OWNER: THE MS IRREVOCABLE TRUST C/O DM EQUITIES NY LLC P.O. BOX 979 HARRIMAN, N.Y. 10926 **ZONING DISTRICT** OF-6 ONE FAMILY RESIDENCE REQUIREMENT UNITS REQUIRED/ **PROPOSED VARIANCE** ALLOWED REQUESTED MINIMUM NET LOT AREA SQ.FT. 5,000 6,089 (GROSS 7,500) NO MINIMUM LOT WIDTH **FEET** 50 75 NO MINIMUM LOT DEPTH **FEET** 100 100 NO MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS % 27 26.29 NO MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES % 54 53.78 NO MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK **FEET** 20 20.25 NO MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK FEET **PREVAILING** MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK **FEET** 25 30.75 NO MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK EACH **FEET** 10 10.33 NO MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK BOTH **FEET** 20 NO 30.91 **STORIES NUMBER** 2 1/2 2 1/2 NO MAXIMUM HEIGHT ROOF PITCH GREATER THAN 0.30 33.7 FEET 35 NO OFF STREET PARKING NUMBER 2 NO

26 ALLEN STREET IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE TABLE

UNITS	PROPOSED
SQ.FT.	1,601
SQ.FT.	178
SQ.FT.	78
SQ.FT.	18
SQ.FT.	1,090
SQ.FT.	59
SQ.FT.	120
SQ.FT.	26
SQ.FT.	22
SQ.FT.	3,192
SQ.FT.	83
SQ.FT.	3,275 3,275 / 6,089 = 53.78 %
	\$Q.FT.

130 PALISADE STREET FLOOR AREAS TABLE PROPERTY LOCATION: 35 RIVERSIDE PLACE TAX ID No 3.80 - 36 - 5 OWNER: ZACH AND SIMONE NOYES 130 PALISADE STREET DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522 ZONING DISTRICT MDR-2 AREA OF RENOVATION NEW AREA EXISTING HABITABLE AREA EXISTING AREA STORY 688 FIRST FLOOR 748 638 362 638 SECOND FLOOR 638

N/A

N/A

THIRD FLOOR

483

N/A

130 PALISADE STR	EET Z	ONING	TABLE	***	
PROPERTY LOCATION: 35 RIVERSIDE PLACE TAX ID No	3.80 - 36 - 5				
OWNER: ZACH AND SIMONE NOYES 130 PALISADE STR	REET DOBBS FE	RRY, NY 10522			
ZONING DISTRICT M D R - 2					
REQUIREMENT	UNITS	REQUIRED/ ALLOWED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	VARIANCE REQUESTED
MINIMUM NET LOT AREA	SQ.FT.	5,000	6,480	UNCHANGED	NO
MINIMUM NET LOT AREA PER DWELLING UNIT	SQ.FT.	800	6,480	UNCHANGED	NO
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	FEET	50	54.00	UNCHANGED	NO
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH	FEET	100	120.00	UNCHANGED	NO
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS	%	27	16.60	23.61	NO
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES	%	54	36.57	39.88	NO
MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	20	1.00	UNCHANGED	NO
MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	PREVAILING			
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	FEET	25	±91.5	77.2	NO
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK EACH	FEET	10	2.00	UNCHANGED	YES
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK BOTH	FEET	20	± 19.5	UNCHANGED	YES
STORIES	NUMBER	3	2	3	NO
MAXIMUM HEIGHT (ROOF PITCH GREATER THAN 0.30)	FEET	40	21.33	34.33	NO
OFF STREET PARKING	NUMBER	2	2 PLUS	UNCHANGED	NO

	RVIOUS UNITS	EXISTING	PROPOSED
EXISTING TWO STORY HOUSE	SQ.FT.	638	
EXISTING PORCH	SQ.FT.	74	
EXISTING CONRETE STEPS	SQ.FT.	24	
EXISTING ONE STORY (FRONT)	SQ.FT.	50	2
EXISTING ONE STORY (REAR)	SQ.FT.	45	
EXISTING ONE STORY (REAR)	SQ.FT.	15	,
EXISTING CELLAR DOOR	SQ.FT.	35	
EXISTING GARAGE	SQ.FT.	217	
EXISTING WALL (AT REAR PROPERTY LINE)	SQ.FT.	16	
EXISTING MASONRY BLOCK RETAINING WALLS	SQ.FT.	116	
EXISTING FLAGSTONE WALK	SQ.FT.	92	
EXISTING CONCRETE CURB	SQ.FT.	20	
EXISTING CONCRETE	SQ.FT.	103	
EXISTING TERRACE & DRIVEWAY(CONC. PAVERS)	SQ.FT.	889	
EXISTING SHED	SQ.FT.	36	
SUB	TOTAL A	EXISTING 2,370	
TOTAL EXISTING IMPERVIOUS COV	/ERAGE 2,3	70 / 6,480 = 36.57 %	
PROPOSED THREE STORY ADDITION	SQ.FT.		528
EXISTING ONE STORY (REAR)TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 45
EXISTING ONE STORY (REAR)TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 15
EXISTING CELLAR DOOR TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 35
EXISTING TERRACE TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 195
EXISTING FLAGSTONE WALK TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 176
EXISTING MASONRY BLOCK RETAINING WALLS	SQ.FT.		(-)116
EXISTING SHED TO BE REMOVED	SQ.FT.		(-) 36
PROPOSED MASONRY BLK RET'G WALL & STEPS	SQ.FT.		136
PROPOSED PATIO AND WALK	SQ.FT.		168
TOTAL PROPOSED IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE SUB		SUBTOTAL E	

Z O N I N G T A B L E

PROPERTY LOCATION: 1 MYRTLE AVENUE TAX ID No 3.120 - 112 - 4

OWNER: ADAM OSTROW, KENDALL OSTROW 1 MYRTLE AVENUE DOBBS FERRY, NY 10522

ZONING DISTRICT OF - 2					
REQUIREMENT	UNITS	REQUIRED/ ALLOWED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	VARIANCE REQUESTED
MINIMUM NET LOT AREA	SQ.FT.	20,000	74,965.11	UNCHANGED	
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	FEET	125	314.21	UNCHANGED	
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH	FEET	125	250	UNCHANGED	
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS	%	18	3.37 (2,633)	5.44 (4,082)	NO
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES	%	40	13.09	17.24	NO
MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	30	125	115	
MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	N/A			
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	FEET	25	39.1	UNCHANGED	
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK EACH	FEET	20	80	31.92	NO
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK BOTH	FEET	50	270	221.92	NO
STORIES	NUMBER	2.5	2	UNCHANGED	
MAXIMUM HEIGHT (ROOF PITCH 0.25 TO 0.30)	FEET	33	21	UNCHANGED	
OFF STREET PARKING	NUMBER	2	2	UNCHANGED	

ZONING TABLE

PROPERTY LOCATION: 40 DEVOE STREET TAX ID No 3.90 -52-4

OWNER: 40 DEVOE STREET LLC

ZONING DISTRICT MDR-1					
REQUIREMENT	UNITS	REQUIRED/ ALLOWED	EXISTING	PROPOSED	VARIANCE REQUIRED
MINIMUM NET LOT AREA	SQ.FT.	5,000	11,508	UNCHANGED	
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	FEET	50	80	UNCHANGED	
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH	FEET	100	142.14	UNCHANGED	
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS	%	27	13.88 (1,598)	26.46 (3,046)	NO
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES	%	54	31.29 (3,601)	46.10 (5,306)	NO
MINIMUM LOT AREA PER UNIT	SQ.FT.	2500 SQ.FT. PER UNIT 2500 / 11508 4.6 UNITS	2 UNITS	3 UNITS	NO
MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	20	12.8	20	NO
MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	N/A			
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	FEET	25	81.97	58.80	NO
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK EACH	FEET	10	3.4	10.02	NO
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK BOTH	FEET	20	39.75	20.04	NO
STORIES	NUMBER	2.5	2	2 1/2	NO
MAXIMUM HEIGHT (ROOF PITCH > 0.30)	FEET	35	25	34.75	NO
OFF STREET PARKING	NUMBER	2	2	2 PER UNIT x 3 = 6	NO

S	

IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE EXISTING	HOUSE BLUESTONE STOOP,STEP & WALK BLUESTONE WALK CONCRETE PAD TAGSTONE WALK AT HOUSE DECK ON GRADE BRICK STEPS BRICK STEPS WOOD STEPS & PLATFORM STONE AND WOOD RETAINING WALLS FRAME BULLONG RETAINING WALK STEPS TO BRICK STEPS WOOD STEPS & PLATFORM SO,FT. FRAME BULLONG SO,FT. STONE AND WOOD FETAINING WALLS FRAME BULLONG SO,FT. METAL SHED PAVING 10000 SO,FT.	TOTAL 14021 SQ.FT, 14021 / 78,993,92 = 17,75%) IMPERVIOUS COVERAGE PROPOSED	EX HOUSE 157 1250 MINUS 157 (1 STORY TO BE REMOVED) 622 EX FRAME BUILDING 622	EX RETAINING WALLS 36 SQ.FT. EX METAL SHED 184 SQ.FT. SQ.FT.	WONDSELD ADDITIONS WESTORY STORY STORY STORY FAMILY ENTRY STORY FAMILY ENTRY STORY ENTRY STORY ENTRY STORY ENTRY STORY ENTRY STORY ENTRY STORY TWO CAR GARAGE	PROPOSED OPEN WOOD DECK 888 355 PLUS 173 PLUS 360	PROPOSED SIEP AT ENIRY 7 SQ.FT. PROPOSED RETAINING WALLS 45 SQ.FT. PROPOSED CURRS 96 SQ.FT. 73 PLUS 8 PROPOSED TERRACE AT BSMNT 562 SQ.FT.	
EXISTING	1250 SQ.FT. 622 SQ.FT. 184 SQ.FT. 2056 SQ.FT. = 2.60%		PROPOSED	1093 SQ.FT. 622 SQ.FT. 184 SQ.FT.	444	226 210 90 80 63 63 63 63 63 63 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05 05	OTAL 4626 SQ.FT.		
BUILDING COVERAGE	HOUSE FRAME BUILDING METAL SHED TOTAL 2056 / 78,993.92.		BUILDING COVERAGE	EX HOUSE 1250 MINUS 157 TBR EX FRAME BUILDING EX METAL SHED	PROPOSED ADDITIONS 1/2 STORY	1 STORY 1 STORY 1 STORY FAMILY ENTRY 1 STORY FAMILY ENTRY PORCH 1 STORY ENTRY PORCH 1 STORY ENTRY PORCH 1 STORY ENTRY PORCH 1 STORY WING CAR GARGE PROPOSED OPEN WOOD DECK 355 PLUS 173 PLUS 360	TOTAL 4626 / 78 9	, ģ	

SITE PLAN NOTES:

- 1. SHOULD ROCK BLASTING BE REQUIRED, A PERMIT APPLICATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH CHAPTER 125 BLASTING AND EXPLOSIVES OF THE VILLAGE OF DOBBS FERRY CODE MUST BE SUBMITTED TO THE VILLAGE BY THE APPLICANT FOR REVIEW / APPROVAL.
- 2. THE VILLAGE ENGINEER MAY REQUIRE ADDITIONAL EROSION CONTROL MEASURES IF DEEMED APPROPRIATE TO MITIGATE UNFORESEEN SILIATION AND EROSION OF DISTURBED SOILS.
- 3. BUILT PLANS OF THE PROPOSED DRIVEWAY AND DRAINAGE IMPROVEMENTS SHALL BE SUBMITTED TO THE VILLAGE ENGINEER FOR REVIEW PRIOR TO ISSUANCE OF CERTIFICATE OF OCCUPANCY.
- FILL MATERIAL IMPORTED TO THE SITE SHALL BE CERTIFIED IN WRITING BY A NEW YORK LICENSED PROFESSIONAL ENGINEER AS CLEAN, NON CONTAMINATED FILL SUITABLE FOR THE INTENDED USE.
- 5. BEFORE THE SITE PLAN IS SIGNED BY THE CHAIRMAN OF THE PLANNING BOARD, THE APPLICANT SHALL BE REQUIRED TO POST A PERFORMANCE BOND OR OTHER TYPE OF ACCEPTABLE MONETARY GUARANTY WHICH SHALL BE IN AN AMOUNT DETERMINED BY THE PLANNING BOARD AND THE VILLAGE ENGINEER IN A FORM SATISFACTORY TO THE VILLAGE ATTORNEY.

ZONING	3 TABL	ш		
PROPERTY LOCATION: 60 WASHINGTON AVENUE TAXID OWNER: DWIGHT WELD, CHARLOTTE WELD 60 WASHINGTON AVENUE DOBB	UE TAXID NO DTTE WELD IUE DOBBS	TAXID No 3.50-17-8.2 E WELD DOBBS FERRY, N Y. 10522	10522	
ZONING DISTRICT OF-2				
REQUIREMENT	UNITS	REQUIRED/ ALLOWED	EXISTING	PROPOSED
MINIMUM NET LOT AREA	SQ.FT.	20,000	78,993.92	UNCHANGED
MINIMUM LOT WIDTH	FEET	125	272	UNCHANGED
MINIMUM LOT DEPTH	FEET	125	352	UNCHANGED
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY BUILDINGS	%	18	2.60	5.86
MAX. LOT COVERAGE BY IMPERVIOUS SURFACES	%	40	17.75	17.11
MINIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	40 *	243.54	213.96
MAXIMUM FRONT YARD SETBACK	FEET	PREVAILING	NA	
MINIMUM REAR YARD SETBACK	FEET	40 *	50.89	40.40
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK	FEET	20	73.71	46.35
MINIMUM SIDE YARD SETBACK BOTH	FEET	50	179.65	145.91
STORIES	NUMBER	2.5	1.5	1,5
MAXIMUM HEIGHT (GREATER THAN 0.30)	FEET	35	± 26	±26
OFF STREET PARKING	NUMBER	2	2	9

* AS PER TABLE B-5 RESIDENTIAL FRONT AND REAR YARD SETBACKS (SLIDING SCALES)

13512 SQ.FT.

13512 / 78,993.92 = 17.11%